

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/765,695	KEESE, FRANK M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Ula C Ruddock.

(2) Marcus Burch.

**Date of Interview:** 6 January 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
all

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant has agreed to amend the independent claims to incorporate the limitation of an elastomer that comprises a silicone rubber. Furthermore, all the withdrawn claims have been rejoined.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  

**ULA RUDDOCK**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)